

**VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE
PLEASANT PRAIRIE VILLAGE BOARD
LAKE MICHIGAN SEWER UTILITY DISTRICT
9915 39th Avenue
Pleasant Prairie, WI
June 1, 2009
6:30 p.m.**

A Regular Meeting of the Pleasant Prairie Village Board was held on Monday, June 1, 2009. Meeting called to order at 6:30 p.m. Present were Village Board members John Steinbrink, Monica Yuhas, Steve Kumorkiewicz, Clyde Allen and Mike Serpe. Also present were Michael Pollocoff, Village Administrator; Tom Shircel, Asst. Village Planner; Kathy Goessl, Finance Director; Mike Spence, Village Engineer; John Steinbrink Jr., Public Works Director and Jane Romanowski, Village Clerk.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER**
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

John Steinbrink:

At this time we rise for the Pledge of Allegiance, and tonight the Pledge is going to be led by Richard Lubin and he's from Scout Troop 505. Richard is working on his Eagle Scout badge, and he was next door to me working in the old abandoned cemetery there with a group of Scouts, and they were busy arranging the stones and cleaning it up and it looks pretty nice. So if you'd rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.

Richard Lubin:

Would everyone please join me in the Pledge of Allegiance.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you, Richard.

- 3. ROLL CALL**
- 4. MINUTES OF MEETINGS - MAY 18, 2009**

YUHAS MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTS OF THE MAY 18, 2009 VILLAGE BOARD MEETING AS PRESENTED IN THEIR WRITTEN FORM; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

- 5. CITIZEN COMMENTS**

Jane Romanowski:

There are no sign ups tonight, Mr. President.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

John Steinbrink:

Is there anybody wishing to speak under citizens' comments this evening? Hearing none, I'll close citizens' comments.

6. ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

Mike Pollocoff:

Nothing tonight, Mr. President.

7. NEW BUSINESS

A. Consider Resolution #09-19 honoring June Nelson for 45 years of continuous membership in the Pleasant Prairie Women's Club.

John Steinbrink:

We have a plaque for June, and one of the Board members has some very nice words. Can we read the plaque?

Mike Pollocoff:

Want me to read the resolution first?

John Steinbrink:

Sure.

Mike Pollocoff:

We have Resolution 09-19, resolution honoring June Nelson for 45 years of continuous membership in the Pleasant Prairie Women's Club.

Whereas, June Nelson was born and raised in Pleasant Prairie with her parents and her sister first living on a farm on Sheridan Road before moving to a farm off of Cooper Road; and

Whereas, in 1963, 44 women, including June Nelson, formed the Pleasant Prairie Women's Club; and on May 12, 1964, the club became chartered and federated; and

Whereas, the club held their first meetings in the local school lunch rooms until the Pleasant Prairie Municipal Building was completed in 1967 wherein meetings were held there until January of 2003. In February, 2003, meetings were held at the Donald Wruck Pavilion at Lake Andrea until September 2006, when the club returned home to the Pleasant Prairie Municipal Building for their meetings; and

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Whereas, June served as President of the club in 1982 and 1983 and also as Treasurer and Recording Secretary over the years; and

Whereas, the Pleasant Prairie Women's Club has held many fundraising events and has donated: an organ to Brookside Nursing Home; food baskets to needy families at Christmas and Easter; funds to help purchase Jaws of Life, an automatic defibrillator and training for the Fire & Rescue Department; \$4500 towards the purchase of the stained glass windows at the Kemper Center Chapel; money to Women's Horizons; and funds for the canine unit, Shop with a Cop, Safe Harbor Animal Hospital, Margaret Ann's Place, Salvation Army, Pleasant Prairie Baseball and additional charity and community organizations. The club has performed many additional charitable acts on behalf of the public year after year; and

Whereas, June and the women involved in the club have made a very positive and permanent impact upon the quality of life in the Village of Pleasant Prairie,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Village Board of Trustees of the Village of Pleasant Prairie hereby adopts this resolution honoring June Nelson for 45 years of continuous dedication and distinguished service to the Pleasant Prairie Women's Club and the surrounding community.

Considered and adopted this 1st day of June, 2009.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Move to adopt the resolution.

Monica Yuhas:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Monica. Any further discussion on the item?

Monica Yuhas:

Mr. President, I have some information that I'd like to have read into the record regarding June Nelson. And some of this may be repetitive as to what's in the resolution but it bears repeating. Certificate of honor and dedication of 45 years of continuous membership in Pleasant Prairie Women's Club goes to June Nelson.

June was born and raised in Pleasant Prairie. Her parents, sister, and herself lived on a farm on Sheridan road before moving to a farm off of Cooper Road.

In 1963, 44 women including June formed Pleasant Prairie Women's Club, then on May 12, 1964, the club became chartered and federated.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

The club held their first meetings in the local school lunch rooms, until eventually in 1967 the Pleasant Prairie municipal building was completed. At that point the club held the monthly meetings in this building until January of 2003. In February of 2003, the club held the meetings at the Donald Wruck Pavilion at Lake Andrea. Finally in September of 2006, the club felt at home again where meetings were held at the current Village Hall where the firemen continuously enjoy the goodies that are given to them after the meetings.

Some of the activities that June has been involved in:

1. Yearly bake and rummage sales were meaning and productive projects.
2. Purse show festivals featured the latest styles.
3. Family and Fun Nostalgic at the now Village Hall featured games, pony rides, and fun filled surprise visit by the Beatles of which 4 members of the band resembled 4 of the club members.
4. A used organ worth \$1,100.00 was given to Brookside Nursing Home.
5. Needy families receive food baskets at Christmas and Easter.
6. The Club undertook complete re-organization of Pleasant Prairie Crusade and remained active for years.
7. Club purchased a "Welcome to Pleasant Prairie" Sign.
8. Funds received from a corn roast at the Pleasant Prairie Carnival, of which June and her late husband Dick, helped purchase a Hurst Tool (Jaws of Life) for the Pleasant Prairie Fire & Rescue Department. The club also sponsored a Miss Pleasant Prairie Contest which ran for years at this same carnival.
9. For many years the club held a food booth at the Kemper Center's AMX Car show which raised \$4,500 to purchase the stained glass windows at the Chapel.
10. The club also raised money to help Women's Horizons to purchase dining tables and chairs and also privacy shutters for the windows of their building.
11. Club donated and planted tulip bulbs at two areas at the Town Hall building and at the Safety Building.
12. Club organized a project to help the Kenosha Youth Development Services purchase Stoneware dishes and bedroom furnishings.
13. Holiday Cookie Walk and bake sales, Style shows, Wine and Cheese tasting, and inventory control at Boston Store were also projects that the club performed to raise money for other charity donations.
14. A \$1,000 donation for a automatic defibrillator for the Pleasant Prairie Fire Dept.
15. A \$500.00 donation to the Fire Department for training on this equipment.
16. Helped fund the canine unit.
17. Donated to "Shop with a Cop"
18. Donated to Safe Harbor Animal Hospital, Margaret Ann's Place, and Salvation Army.
19. Sponsored Pleasant Prairie baseball and software programs.
20. Held the Bingo parties for the Dayton Hotel residents.
21. Funded \$600 annually for local school scholarships each year.
22. For the past 15 years the club has held a food booth at the Art in the Park held by Kenosha Art Association, of which the money raised each year goes to many of the above mentioned charities and programs of which each of the past and current members have

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

worked hard to maintain the courageous efforts that this club provides to the public year after year, and June Nelson has been a part of for the last 45 years.

23. June Nelson's tenure as part of the Pleasant Prairie Women's Club has included her being President in 1982 & 1983, and she also has served as Treasure and Recording Secretary over the years. The public should be well aware of her presence as such a giving person for the many years she has given back to the community.

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-19 HONORING JUNE NELSON FOR 45 YEARS OF CONTINUOUS MEMBERSHIP IN THE PLEASANT PRAIRIE WOMEN'S CLUB; SECONDED BY YUHAS; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

John Steinbrink:

Congratulations.

June Nelson:

Thank you. I really appreciate this honor. Thank you, Pleasant Prairie, the Board, the members of the Club. I really appreciate this. It's a special honor to receive something like this. I have enjoyed all my years and I hope to spend some more time belonging to the Pleasant Prairie's Women's Club. It's quite an honor. It's a great service and philanthropic organization that we do, and we try to do the best we can for any of the needy. Thank you.

B. Consider Resolution #09-14 to initiate amendments to the Village Zoning Ordinance related to Commercial Communication Structures.

Tom Shircel:

I'll take this one, Mr. President. This resolution, as Mr. President said, is to initiate a zoning text amendment. Whereas, the Village Board may initiate a petition for an amendment of the zoning ordinance which may include rezoning of properties, change in zoning district boundaries or, in this case, a change in the text of the Village zoning ordinance.

Whereas, the Village is re-evaluating ordinances related to commercial communications structures to clarify the ordinance requirements; and whereas, references made to these requirements in the Village zoning ordinance may need to be amended as a result of changes to other sections of the municipal ordinance.

Now therefore, let it be resolved by the Village Board as follows:

1. That the Village Board hereby initiates and petitions to amend the zoning ordinance related to commercial communications structures;
2. That these proposed changes in the zoning text are hereby referred to the Village staff for further study and recommendation and may require additional changes in other sections

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

of the zoning ordinance, including but not limited to district regulations, conditional uses and/or definitions; and

3. That the Village Board is not by this resolution making any determination regarding the merits of the proposed changes in the zoning ordinance, but rather is only initiating the process by which the proposed changes in the zoning ordinance can be promptly evaluated.

And with that I'll turn it back to you, Mr. President.

Mike Serpe:

Will this come to us all at once, Tom, or this going to be as you—

Tom Shircel:

It will come all at once.

Mike Serpe:

Move approval of Resolution 09-14.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Trustee Serpe, second by Trustee Allen. Any further discussion on this item?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-14 TO INITIATE AMENDMENTS TO THE VILLAGE ZONING ORDINANCE RELATED TO COMMERCIAL COMMUNICATION STRUCTURES; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

- C. **Consider Engineering Services Agreement for storm water improvements to the Carol Beach Estates Unit 1 Subdivision.**

Mike Spence:

Mr. President, there have been a number of drainage issues that have been identified in the Carol Beach Unit 1 area over the years. If you recall, last year the Board approved a contract to do a topographic survey of the entire area. That survey has been done, and this agreement tonight is a two step process. The first phase would be a drainage system that would install a culvert west of 8th Street and then also provide drainage down to the ditch on the west side of the railroad there. There's been some immediate concerns with flooding there. This agreement would put together design documents for that first phase for construction by Village construction crew.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

The second phase of this agreement would be an evaluation of the drainage in entire area to make sure that as time and resources develop we can correct any additional deficiencies in that watershed. I recommend that the Board approve this contract with Graef USA out of Milwaukee. I have firsthand experience with them in the past, and I am confident that they will provide professional services to the Village.

Monica Yuhas:

Question, Mr. President. Mike, not to exceed \$12,000?

Mike Spence:

That is correct. And actually since I put together the memo we have decided that it is a project that's conducive to having Village construction crews do, so it's anticipated that that fee will actually be less than that, most likely \$10,000.

Monica Yuhas:

Thank you. With that I move for approval of awarding the engineering service agreement to Graef not to exceed \$12,000.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Monica, second by Steve. Any further discussion on this item?

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Question. Did you say some of our crew is going to do this project?

Mike Spence:

Yes, our construction crews will be doing the project.

John Steinbrink:

Further comment or question?

YUHAS MOVED TO APPROVE AN ENGINEERING SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH GRAEF USA INC. NOT TO EXCEED \$12,000 FOR STORM WATER IMPROVEMENTS TO THE CAROL BEACH ESTATES UNIT 1 SUBDIVISION; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

D. Consider Award of Contract for improvements to the West Frontage Road North of CTH Q.

Mike Spence:

Mr. President, sealed bids for the above project were received on April 23rd. As you can see there were seven bids received for this work. This work includes the widening of the West Frontage Road from County Trunk Highway Q north to County Trunk Highway C. The road will be widened with a median, and there will also be a realignment which will increase the area of the KABA development.

The bids were very good and were less than the engineer's estimate. Payne & Dolan was the low contractor with a bid price of \$2,442,034.55. The second contractor is the contract that's actually doing the interchange C work. As you can see they were very tight bids. This is one of the closest bids that I've seen in my professional experience with \$300 difference on a \$2.4 million job. Payne & Dolan is a good contractor and has worked in the past for the Village. And because of that I recommend that the Board approve this contract.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

So moved.

Monica Yuhas:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Monica. Further discussion on this item?

Mike Serpe:

This is TID money, is that correct?

Mike Spence:

That's correct.

Mike Serpe:

This portion of the Frontage Road that's entirely the Abbott property or just about?

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Mike Pollocoff:

Yes. From C to Q is all Abbott, and then there's the one island area that's really the KABA development. This is part of our Tax Increment District #2 project plan, and this was in place before Abbott acquired the property, but we anticipated in order to facilitate development in that area and eliminate the blight and be able to make sure we had a good access road for whatever would develop in there. We had put this in prior to Abbott buying the site.

Mike Serpe:

Okay, thanks.

John Steinbrink:

Further comment or question? I think having the fact we know that Abbott is going in there made the design a little better so we don't have to go back later and design it for the user.

Mike Pollocoff:

Right.

Mike Spence:

The other thing I just wanted to add, too, there was extensive coordination with the State on this project, so the access points are well defined so that fits the Abbott plan and the development in the area.

Mike Serpe:

As this goes in is there improvements going in such as lighting on that Frontage Road because of the amount of traffic that Abbott may cause?

Mike Pollocoff:

There's some improvements probably over what we anticipated back when we first started it and lighting is one of them. But I'm holding back on that until Abbott really does come. The lighting is the lighting and I don't think there's a benefit of doing it now or later on the cost because you're going to pay the PSC rates no matter what.

The other beneficial addition to this is the fact that we're going to have dedicated bike lanes on both sides of the divided Frontage Road that will tie in with that on C that goes under the underpass. That's one of the items we're going to talk about later tonight. But part of our chore with our consultant is to kind of close these lanes. This is one of the things that the State required that they're going to be paying for, the bike lanes and those additions onto the Frontage Road.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

John Steinbrink:

And the good news is as we raise Highway C out of the floodplain and Mr. Guida rides his bike through there he doesn't have to roll up his cuffs. Thinking of you all the time.

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO THE WEST FRONTAGE ROAD NORTH OF CTH Q TO PAYNE & DOLAN IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$2,442,034.55; SECONDED BY YUHAS; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

E. Consider Resolution #09-15 - Resolution Certifying the Creation, Review and Adoption of the Compliance Maintenance Annual Reports for the Wastewater Treatment Plant in Sewer Utility District 73-1.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, this is the next to the last one that we're going to be doing of these. This is for the 73-1 treatment plant. This plant, as small as it is, has been operating at a high level primarily because it really doesn't carry a lot of waste. Under the State scoring system it scored a 4.0. It's like school and that's good. In fact, if we were not going to be closing it in 2010 because of the larger version it could keep going on for a substantial amount of time. So the crews of the utilities and Dan Anderson at the plant is given a lot of credit to making sure that plant stays within compliance and operates well. I'd recommend that Resolution 09-15 be adopted and forwarded to the DNR.

Clyde Allen:

So moved.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Steve. Further discussion on this item?

ALLEN MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-15 - RESOLUTION CERTIFYING THE CREATION, REVIEW AND ADOPTION OF THE COMPLIANCE MAINTENANCE ANNUAL REPORTS FOR THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT IN SEWER UTILITY DISTRICT 73-1; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

F. Consider Resolution #09-16 - Resolution Certifying the Creation, Review and Adoption of the Compliance Maintenance Annual Reports for the Wastewater Treatment Plant in Sewer Utility District D.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, this is the sister plant to 73-1. It will also be closed down on December 31, of next year. It's operating at a high level. Again, we have one more report we're going to do on this next year and then we'll be closed down as part of the diversion deadlines that we have with the State. I recommend that Resolution 09-16 be adopted and forwarded to the DNR.

Monica Yuhas:

So moved.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Any further comment or question? Mike has given credit to the folks that operate these plants and maintain them and they do a great job. But there's history to this in the whole area when you think back, and I've got to give credit to Administrator Pollocoff because of the changes he made in subdivisions like River Oaks and a lot of the changes to Sewer Utility District D. Back in those early days when we first had this going there were a lot of problems and there was not the maintenance we do today. Thanks to his leadership and his knowledge I think the knowledge is the key thing here. I'm not sure where he learned so much about sewer plants but it made a big difference. Not only do they run more efficiently but they save the rate payers dollars because of the programs he implemented as far as usage and electricity and cutting the costs of operating these plants. He can take a lot of credit for this 4.0 that we see here today. So, thank you, Mike.

Mike Pollocoff:

You're welcome. It's kind of heartbreaking to see them leave.

John Steinbrink:

There's nothing finer than having a good sewer plant on your resume. Further comments or question. We have a motion and a second I believe.

YUHAS MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-16 - RESOLUTION CERTIFYING THE CREATION, REVIEW AND ADOPTION OF THE COMPLIANCE MAINTENANCE ANNUAL REPORTS FOR THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT IN SEWER UTILITY DISTRICT D; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

G. Consider Declaration of Trust of the Village of Pleasant Prairie Integral Part Trust.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Kathy Goessl:

Mr. President, the Village provides retirees with health insurance benefits, and according to the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement Number 45, the Village is required to account for our retiree medical plans beginning January 1, 2008 as the employee earn this benefit. Prior to 2008 the Village accounted for its retiree medical plan on a pay as you go basis. Currently, we only have a couple of employees that are retired and that we're paying medical insurance for. So all we've recognized in the past is just the premium for that health insurance benefit. But going forward we need to actually recognize it as our employees have earned it, and also recognize what the employees has earned in the past of this benefit.

The GASB 45 statement does not change the ultimate costs that the Village has for their retiree medical plan. Rather, GASB 45 only changes the timing of when the costs are recognized. And, also, GASB 45 does not require pre-funding of this retirement medical plan in a separate trust, but if we do decide or if we do put money into this trust and set it aside in an irrevocable trust, the trust earnings will reduce our future retirement liability.

Back about a year and a half ago Milliman Actuary performed an actuarial evaluation calculating our future retirement medical benefits for employees as of January 1, 2007. Every two years we have to re-evaluate that so this summer we will be contacting Milliman again and having them re-evaluate what our actual liability is. A year and a half ago when they actually did the evaluation the Village was required beginning in 2008 to recognize a half a million dollars of liability in that year. And that's a portion that's attributed to the current year as well as starting to recognize past benefits that the employees have earned.

So tonight on the agenda there's three different items on the agenda to create a trust and then also adopt a resolution and an administrative services agreement. So the first agenda item is to actually create the trust and the trust would hold some assets and the income to fund the post-retirement health benefits. The trust earnings would reduce the Village's future retiree health liability.

What I'm recommending tonight is to start this trust, and this first item on the agenda is the trust document, and then to begin this trust is to deposit our 2008 obligation for our Sewer Utility, our Water Utility, our Solid Waste Utility and our Clean Water Utility. And the amount of money that those utility employees have earned or need to recognize in 2008 was almost \$80,000, \$79,679. Then over the next few years as we review our budgets we would like to add the general government and the RecPlex to also contribute to this trust. But currently the RecPlex and the general government does not have budgeted to be able to fund this trust. They still need to recognize the liability but they don't have the budgeted amount to put away into the trust.

Also, the Village is looking at future benefits for our new hires to help us evaluate how the Village can reduce their liability in the future. So the first item here and in your packet is the actual trust document for the Village, and I'm asking for approval of this trust document so that's the first part. That's Item G.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Mike Pollocoff:

As Kathy indicated, we have the new rules in place, and for those enterprises that are operating off the tax roll we've set money aside for that. We'll be in negotiations with the represented employees and also with the non-represented employees to get this thing put together in a manner that's really a win-win for everybody, where we fund going forward everything that is our responsibility but funded in a way where the employees can take advantage of it where it will be a tax advantage to them as well as an investment advantage for the employees to do it. There's only one way, you either take something from the salary side or you do it on the benefit side.

So I think the plan that Kathy and Carol have put together here along with ICMA who is well known for putting together these types of retirement benefits I think is going to be good for everybody. As Kathy indicated there's no law or rule that says we need to cash fund this thing right now, but we do need to do the work to recognize it, get the plans in place so we can fund it. I think that if we start looking for ways to cash fund this right now that's what got the Unified District in trouble. There's nothing within those GASB rules requiring us to fund this immediately. We need to fund it in a responsible manner, but what we do need to do is recognize and know what it is so everybody knows what they're dealing with. I think this is a change, we've never had a problem funding the post-employment retirement benefits, but as time has gone on we've gotten bigger. So my recommendation is we adopt these documents and get the process put in place sooner rather than later and get everybody on board with this project.

Mike Serpe:

The retirees that are in the Village are the covered until Medicare?

Mike Pollocoff:

Right, the Village doesn't pay for retirement health insurance beyond 65. And we do pay for retired health insurance for detective services, the police and firemen from 53 to 65, but in those contracts they have to contribute after a certain - for general employees if an employee has 15 years worth of continuous service with the Village and after age 60 we would pay for theirs. So the Village has that five, six, seven depending on how old you are where we pay for the health insurance for that. So that would still continue.

One of the things this does is it would pay for those insurance premiums but it would pay for other things. Health insurance is going to be—I don't know what it's going to be, and that's one reason I think it pays to move deliberately and acknowledge what we're dealing with but we don't know what kind of system we're going to be looking at a year from now even. But what these trusts do is they enable employees to put money aside tax exempt and it's also tax exempt coming out, really on both sides of it, and they control the investment of those funds. The Village doesn't control that. It's going to be a good tool especially for the younger employees in the group as they go on. Some of the older employees there's really not that much time to take advantage of it. But, again, it's a good tool as far as reducing tax exposure, something everybody knows they're going to need.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Mike Serpe:

So for our younger employees the age is 67 for Medicare, is that correct?

Mike Pollocoff:

Right, today.

Clyde Allen:

Kathy, a quick question. For our money that the Village is putting into this to start this trust, if I read this correctly, the trustee does not have the discretion to invest it, it's done at the Village's input, is that correct?

Kathy Goessl:

Basically, the next resolution is that the Village Administrator and myself jointly serve as trustees for the Village portion of the money to direct where that gets invested.

John Steinbrink:

Do we have a motion?

Mike Serpe:

I would move that the Village enter into the agreement for the Village part of the trust, integral part.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Clyde. Further discussion, comment or question?

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I don't see the word CDOs.

John Steinbrink:

Motion and second on the floor.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

SERPE MOVED TO APPROVE A DECLARATION OF TRUST OF THE VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE INTEGRAL PART TRUST FOR THE VANTAGE CARE RHS EMPLOYER INVESTMENT PROGRAM; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

H. Consider Resolution #09-17 - Adoption of the Vantage RHS Employer Investment Program.

Kathy Goessl:

This resolution, Mr. President, establishes the retiree health savings plan, and with ICMA which is the International City Management Association Retirement Corporation, and their plan is Vantage Care Retiree Health Saving Employers Investment Program. This resolution has identified Mike and myself, our positions jointly, to serve as trustees to invest in this plan the Village's portion of the money. It's similar to 401(k) with different mutual funds and that type of investment at different risk levels. Our current investment policy does identify that type of investment for this type of funds.

This money being put aside is for the exclusive benefit of our plan participants. It's put in there, it earns money, and the only way we can get the money out of this plan is to pay for retiree health benefits. So I'm asking for Resolution 09-17 adoption of the Vantage RHS Employer Investment Program to be approved. Any questions?

Mike Serpe:

I don't understand this stuff very well I'd be the first to admit. You make your investments with ICMA. Do you pick the areas of investment?

Mike Pollocoff:

Yes.

Mike Serpe:

So it could be a cash account, it could be a stock, active stock.

Mike Pollocoff:

Probably it's going to be a blend of different options. Kathy and I would treat it as not to put all our eggs in one basket but to balance out the risk exposure for the Village.

Mike Serpe:

What type of percentage do you anticipate receiving out of your investments?

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Mike Pollocoff:

I don't know if they gave you an estimate.

Kathy Goessl:

The stock market recently hasn't done too well, but in the past it's averaging 7 to 8 percent. 7 to 8 percent is what the average historical stock market percentage has been. It's very similar to a 401(k) with the different investments and the different risk levels that they have. Mike and myself will evaluate that and determine what's best for the Village.

Mike Pollocoff:

Given the existing investment policy we have, it would not permit, and we put that policy together for the future administrator or future finance director to engage in high risk investments.

Kathy Goessl:

So it won't be 100 percent stock, international stock or anything like that.

Mike Pollocoff:

If we can do 7 to 8 percent over the average I think that's going to be better.

Mike Serpe:

Today, that would be ideal if you can achieve that. I'm a member of ICMA, but it's 3.47 in a cash account right now and the Dow is flat, zero.

Mike Pollocoff:

That stuff has to be dollar averaged over time. This is a terrible time. Well, in some respects this might be a good time to go into stocks. Some things are down. But as you know you've got to look at that long-term return and hope you can maintain an average that's going to be better than just sticking it in a passbook account.

Kathy Goessl:

Currently we're at under half a percent at the local government investment at the bank. So anything over that three is a great amount right now for us for the additional money we stick over in that direction.

Mike Serpe:

Move approval of Resolution 09-17.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve.

Clyde Allen:

Kathy, how often will we get reports on the investment activity and its progress?

Kathy Goessl:

I believe we get probably a monthly or quarterly report. I'm not sure which one. But we can bring it to the Board as often as we receive it.

Clyde Allen:

Thank you.

John Steinbrink:

We have a motion and a second. Further comment or question?

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

. . . three percent right now

Mike Pollocoff:

It's not one of our investment choices through ICMA, but for the cash investments they're running about three.

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-17 - ADOPTION OF THE VANTAGE RHS EMPLOYER INVESTMENT PROGRAM; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

I. Consider Administrative Services Agreement with the International City Management Association Retirement Corporation ("ICMA-RC").

Kathy Goessl:

Mr. President, this is the administrative agreement between the Village and International City Management Association Retirement Corporation to offer the mutual funds and help us manage the trust and the investments that we do make for our employees' retiree health insurance

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

benefits. ICMA Retirement Corporation will manage and make available their mutual funds for us to invest in. The initial contract is for a five year term renewing automatically for each year after that. So I'm looking for approval for this administrative service agreement with ICMA Retirement Corporation.

Clyde Allen:

I make a motion to approve with a question.

Monica Yuhas:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Monica. Clyde?

Clyde Allen:

I'm assuming that between you that this will be monitored very closely. Have you established some guideline as to who will be watching that daily or wherever or whatever when the other is gone? What's going to transpire so we don't get hit with blind sided after weeks or after a week of not looking at it.

Mike Pollocoff:

Kathy, as she does with our other funds, monitors that on a daily basis. She keeps me advised of it. If she's not here the assistant finance director is also aware of it. We talk about our investments on a regular basis. Again, we'll talk about it and for some reason if we think we need more than a monthly report we'll provide those. We can go on line and look at it.

Kathy Goessl:

Yes, we can go on line and look at things, too.

Clyde Allen:

Thank you.

John Steinbrink:

Any other further comment or question?

ALLEN MOVED TO APPROVE AN ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH THE INTERNATIONAL CITY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION RETIREMENT CORPORATION; SECONDED BY YUHAS; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

J. Consider Ordinance #09-40 - Ordinance to Amend Section 214 of the Municipal Code relating to dog licensing.

Kathy Goessl:

Mr. President, this is to change the ordinance of dog license fees. Our current dog license fees are \$8 for spayed or neutered dogs and \$13 for unfixed dogs. The recommendation that we're asking for is a \$2 increase in each of those areas for a proposed fee of \$10 for fixed dogs and \$15 for unfixed dogs. The reason for this request is that over the last four years our costs to the Humane Society and to our contractor for stray animal care has increased from \$5,778 per year to \$18,604 per year in 2008. So we're looking at this minimum increase per dog to help cover some of that increase in cost.

Another change to the ordinance we're looking at is actually to revise our language so that after July 1st only puppies will get a half price dog license fee. Currently all dogs that get registered after July 1st pay only a half price plus a penalty of \$5. So we actually have to pay the County more than we're collecting on a half price license on the dogs that register after July 1st. So this change in language will help us not realize that loss by paying the County that difference between the half price and the full price. So those are the two changes that we're looking at and proposing for the ordinance on the dog license fees.

Mike Serpe:

How many licenses do we sell a year?

Kathy Goessl:

I don't have that number but it's a lot.

Mike Serpe:

It is a lot?

Kathy Goessl:

Yes.

Clyde Allen:

I have some minor questions. Mike or Kathy, how do we come up with the \$2 increase when you're paying the County three times as much and you recognize there's a difference between the two, but yet you're increasing both of them by \$2. Obviously the percentage of increase is much lower for the higher amount, and does that increase our exposure a little bit more? Wouldn't we want to cover more of those costs? Just a question.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Kathy Goessl:

Well, we wanted to just make the \$2 increase at this point in time. We'll re-evaluate it next year. We don't want the fee to deter people from getting a license, so we thought that just a \$2 increase people wouldn't shy away from getting it because it's too expensive at this point. But we will re-evaluate it next year to see if our cost stabilized or if it still continues to increase. Then if it continues to increase we'll recommend another increase.

Mike Serpe:

Does every municipality have the convenience of animal control? They don't? The City does and we do and who else?

Mike Pollocoff:

We both contract with somebody. I think some of the outlying communities contract with the same people we do. For the longest time it was the Kenosha County Humane Society and then that came apart. It would be one of those things that would be nice to have a government function or a County operation. Dogs just don't know boundaries. As much as you try and train them they don't stick to the Village limits.

Clyde Allen:

I'm sorry, I have a follow-up question on that. Wouldn't we want to, with the \$2 increase, wouldn't we want to raise the \$13 more than \$2 to encourage the spaying and neutering of the dogs and it would not be so much of a deterrent?

Kathy Goessl:

We can. If you recommend more than a \$2 increase we can increase that more if you'd like that to be done. I think most of our dogs are spayed and neutered. I'm sorry I don't have the statistics but I know the majority are spayed and neutered.

Mike Serpe:

I have a question on that, Clyde. Who checks the dogs?

Mike Pollocoff:

Kathy.

Kathy Goessl:

We require the veterinarian's statement showing the rabies shots have been done and noting that the dog has been spayed and neutered. I don't check the dogs.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Clyde Allen:

I guess it doesn't seem like a lot but I'd like others' input. I'd like to see the unfixed dogs raised higher than the \$2 just to try to encourage them.

Mike Serpe:

We're talking about the fixed dogs . . . if you're talking about raising the unspayed then you're create a little bit of, for lack of a better word, dishonesty at the application of the license.

John Steinbrink:

Compliance.

Mike Serpe:

If you're going to raise it \$5 or \$10 to encourage people to get this done they're going to say my dog is spayed or my dog is neutered.

Kathy Goessl:

We get a vet statement actually that shows it on there. We need to have rabies proof as well as most vet statements also show whether the dog has been spayed or neutered. So it's not the person's statement.

John Steinbrink:

But we keep the cost down people voluntarily comply with it and go with the program versus just not doing it. And we're far better off having people license their dogs.

Kathy Goessl:

It's easier if the dog gets strayed or loose. They have to have a license and they can track them down. Then the cost that we have incurred the Humane Society will get the money back from the owner of the dog.

Mike Pollocoff:

If we do catch a dog without a license the penalties are stiff on that. There's compliance that's inexpensive but it really gets expensive if your dog is out without it then your dog is going to go through the . . . they're going to do all that stuff . . . charge the cost of that plus the cost of the Humane Society to do it. So if somebody doesn't get a license at some point if the dog is caught by the Humane Society they're going to pay a pretty hefty bill.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

John Steinbrink:

You feel the charges you've proposed are sufficient?

Kathy Goessl:

Yes, and I will re-evaluate them next year if our costs do go up more than \$18,000 for next year.

Mike Pollocoff:

That will be part of the budget process.

Kathy Goessl:

Yes, we'll look at that.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

If you adopt an animal from the Humane Society or Safe Harbor, they give you a certificate in which you go to a vet and they pay for half of the cost of neutering the animal. They have to comply and shown to them that it's done. So they have to get the license here . . . they prove not only the ownership of the animal but they've complied with the rabies . . . talking about the stray dogs. What we're charging for the stray dogs it covers the expense that we've got or no?

Mike Pollocoff:

Yes. We have a fairly high fee. We have one of the higher fees around because we do cover that if we can get our hands on the person.

Kathy Goessl:

Yes, the dog owner pays the fees.

Mike Pollocoff:

If we can't find the owner then we don't.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

. . . they have to pay . . . that's what I'm talking about.

Kathy Goessl:

There are a lot of dogs in the Village that are picked up. I'm surprised at the statement of the number of dogs that are picked up every month in the Village. There are probably 20 or more dogs picked up a month on the average.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Monica Yuhas:

Mr. President, I'd like to move for approval of Ordinance 09-40.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Monica, second by Steve. Further discussion?

YUHAS MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE #09-40 - ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 214 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO DOG LICENSING; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

K. Consider Resolution #09-18 establishing special assessment interest rates.

John Steinbrink:

Item K will be removed from the agenda tonight.

Mike Pollocoff:

I'm requesting that be removed from the agenda at this time.

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO REMOVE NEW BUSINESS ITEM K FROM THE AGENDA; SECONDED BY YUHAS; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

L. Consider Agreement with Veolia Environmental Services for temporary recycling services.

Mike Pollocoff:

You want to start with this, John?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Sure. The Village of Pleasant Prairie contracts all of its recycling to be sorted at the One Source Recycling processing facility located in Rockford, Illinois. The Village is currently under contract until April of 2010 to receive \$3 per ton revenue off of each ton of material that is delivered to the Rockford site, and One Source Recycling pays the transfer costs. We have a transfer station at the Prange Center where our municipal trucks are offloaded there and then by front end loader we load them into a semi and then they're hauled down to the Rockford site.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Since November of 2008, the market has really dropped out of the recycling economy. Average price was probably around \$100 or \$120 per ton of recyclable material, and currently that price is around \$35 per ton. Some of the companies like One Source that solely make their business off of the sale of recyclable material are really have a hard time keeping their head above water. They notified us once back in November where they were going to have a breach in their contract, went to their attorneys and they decided back in December that they would honor the contract.

Back again now in April they have told the Village attorney's that they are having some financial difficulties and they're having a hard time with even keeping in business. So they are in breach of their contract again and are currently charging the Village \$15 per ton for us to pay and the Village has to pay the transportation costs of about \$370 per semi load. We're averaging about four semi loads per week. It ends up being a pretty expensive breach of contract for Pleasant Prairie.

So since that time frame we have been working with the attorneys but we have been paying One Source those charges and paying the hauling charges just because we really can't landfill the recyclable material and we don't have the storage capacity to hold four semi loads of material per week.

We have gone out and received some temporary contract agreements with the three other players in the single stream recycling. One was Waste Management who has a MERF for collecting the material in Gray's Lake and Germantown. The other one was Resource Management who has a MERF down in the North Chicago area. And the last MERF in the area that does the sorting of single stream is Veolia who actually has a transfer site at the City of Kenosha around Green Bay Road and 46th. It's the same MERF that the City of Kenosha is using right now.

One of the advantages to hauling our material and dropping off at the MERF over by the City is we don't have to pay the transportation or charges to haul it anywhere. Veolia is able to take advantage of some back hauling where we're only paying half of the trucking. So we're proposing to enter into a temporary agreement until August 1st of this year where we just pay a flat \$30 per ton and no hauling charges. Some of the other savings that the Village receives out of this is we do not have to take the time to load those semis which probably takes about an hour or hour and a half per day along with a lot of the cleanup that we end up having to do with the material.

Our landfill contract also expires on August 1, 2010, so we plan on having an RFP out within about the next week and a half to solicit proposals for land filling services and for recycling services and to kind of see where that's going to end up shaking up. We feel it's worthwhile to roll a permanent recycling contract in with our land filling contract to hopefully receive a better price from whichever one of the successful bidders that are out there. So they will be allowed to either bid on the solid waste or on the recycling services or both really depending on whichever ones that they choose to do.

So by temporarily entering into an agreement with Veolia and having the Village crews bring the material to the transfer site in Kenosha we are saving about \$1,900 from what we're doing

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

currently with One Source Recycling, and a majority of that is in the back hauling charges, not really having to do that. Hopefully the contract that we enter into in August has some sort of a profit sharing, and we'll get into more detail with that as the bids are received.

So we are looking to enter into a temporary agreement for recycling services with Veolia Services where the Village would unload our materials at the Veolia transfer site on 46th Avenue and Green Bay Road for the cost of \$30 per ton until August 1st.

Clyde Allen:

John, what was our solid waste tipping per ton?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

I believe it's around \$38 per ton right now and we're hauling it directly to the landfill in Pheasant Run which probably takes about an hour and a half round trip hauling per truck, and we do about three truckloads per day out there.

Mike Pollocoff:

There's no question that One Source is engaged in a significant breach of their agreement with the Village. When you go from paying the Village \$3 a ton with no collection, no transfer charges, to saying we know you don't have anyplace else to go and we're going to charge you \$15 a ton plus you're going to have to get a truck to Rockford. As John said, we visited with the attorney, our contract has a stipulation in it that any expenses we incur to satisfy a breach are going to go back to the contractor. As it is changing contractors right now really reduces One Source's financial exposure because we actually found somebody that's going to do it cheaper than what they're doing. But we have a good contract and to the extent that they're economically viable we're going to have to go after that for the expenses we're incurring mid stream, mid budget for their non performance. It's in August of 2009 that we're going out for that. That's when the contract is renewed so we'll go for both of them. I guess we'll do the RFP so we can go one way or another. It may not be advantageous to do both of them together or it may but we'll do the RFP so we can go whatever way it good for the Village.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Question for John, Jr. Sending all the trucks to 47th Street is cheaper than taking it to Veolia and we get better price than taking it right across the State line?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

That's their landfill across the State line. I have no intentions on land filling any of my recycling material. The closest MERF that we have is in Grayslake. There's another one in Germantown, Rockford which is One Source which is in breach of their contract, and then North Chicago right now. One of our disadvantages with having single stream recycling is that it is very convenient for the residents but there's not as many sorting facilities available to actually process the

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

material, because you are compacting the glass and the paper and plastics all together in one truck. And so the sorting is a little bit more expensive to do. It's becoming more and more popular and they're building more and more MERFs, but right now in the recycling industry there's really not a lot of companies expanding in the single stream recycling and sorting.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

We have so much more recycling than we used to. That's one of the problems.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Yes, the Village is probably recycling about twice as much. Our diversion rate, which is the amount of material that we pull out of the landfill, is at about 34 percent right now. So about one third of every ton ends up going out of the landfill and actually into the recycling stream. And when we started in 2004 with the small green bins our diversion rate was around 17 or 18 percent. And so by having the single stream recycling, going through and providing the residents with the larger size container, we've actually doubled the amount of material that's pulled out of the landfill which is definitely a good thing. The recycling market is going to turn back around one day and it's going to be a lot cheaper than the landfill and a lot better for the environment also.

Mike Serpe:

I was going to ask that question, John. Do we have any knowledge of what the recycling future is? Or, are we going to be doing what we're doing tonight again a year from now and again a year after that chasing down anybody who is going to take this stuff?

Mike Pollocoff:

It's hard to say. The bulk of our recycling through One Source was going to China along with everybody else. Going up to Minneapolis and then finding its way by rail I think to Seattle. I think as the market goes it's going to go up and down. One of the problems every municipality has is that the mandates that they're under don't really give you any flexibility to deal with market fluctuations. So to the extent that you could accommodate a better market is kind of good, but everybody is left holding the bag when the market goes bad. We've been recycling now for 12 years and it's been all over the place. You can't say it's been trending up. The only thing that's really made it good for us is it's easy to recycle so we can get more stuff out. Even now for as bad as it is it's still less expensive to recycle than going to the landfill.

Mike Serpe:

There's no question that what Pleasant Prairie is doing with recycling is—if we change that in any way, shape or form it's going to cause a problem because it is convenient. It's very convenient right now to recycle. Tonnage, John, as far as what we're taking to the dump, recycling and garbage, is it down a little bit or maintaining or up?

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

It's been staying pretty even. We average around 2,400 tons of recycling per year and probably just over 4,000 tons of material into the landfill each year. So around one third of the material is being recycled and two thirds going to the landfill.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I was reading a report in which there's an outfit in Chicago that is buying recycling for the future. They've got warehouses full of recycling because they say it's going to come back and they want to be ready so they're buying all the recycles. I don't recall what part of Chicago is doing that.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Everyone is buying the recycling at the rate of about \$35 per ton on average. The real expense becomes the hauling it to whatever site. So by the time you haul it all the way to Chicago without any backhaul it really ends up becoming a lot more responsible to find a company that can work some back hauling in there, or where they're hauling our stuff to Chicago and then a full load of material up to Racine and back and forth. That's really where we're making the savings right now is on the back hauls.

Mike Pollocoff:

You look at the businesses in the Corporate Park, Rehrig Pacific, CPI, Iris and I'm missing somebody over there, that's all recycled plastic that's coming up on railcar. There's so much recycled plastic that's coming up to Pleasant Prairie that's being used in injected moldings, so it pays off and it's obvious that businesses are making good use of it. Honeywell uses some of it as well. So all those vendors are taking especially off rail where they can blow the shredded and then chipped plastic into the railcars and they roll back into the plant and they make it. When you look at a green operation how much green production happens in Pleasant Prairie that is just a result of plastic being recycled and putting into products in the Village. That stuff I don't know how it finds its way from wherever it gets down to Chicago but Chicago does have some significantly large processing facilities that they're moving plastics to market.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I wonder if we could do something like that in Pleasant Prairie. How much would it cost to do a study on how much it would cost to separate the plastic . . . grind it and then . . .

Mike Pollocoff:

We could do that. John's a real talented guy, but I think of being in the market of marketing recycling goods, creating it and managing it and manipulating that market is a full time deal for somebody. Again, our goal is to get it collected as efficiently as we can, get the best return on it, and impose that risk on the guy who is selling it. We're going to have some risk, too, if the

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

market goes bad, but it really isn't at least especially at this level of government or this size of government we're not built for going out and establishing that.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

And we really don't generate enough of the volume to have any sort of a return on investment on a single stream recycling plant. It's probably a million and a half or two million dollars as just initial capital on your plan and in the maintenance end to really make that effective. You really need to be running much more material than is generated probably even in Southeastern Wisconsin if everyone would use it right now.

Mike Serpe:

Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the temporary recycle transfer.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Any further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO APPROVE AN AGREEMENT WITH VEOLIA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FOR TEMPORARY RECYCLING SERVICES; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

M. Consider Resolution #09-20 authorizing application for grant assistance for the Prairie Springs Park Trail Development.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Mr. President, back about three years ago we did the first phase, and maybe if we go on to the next slide it might show it a little bit better. We did a small bridge and maybe about 150 feet of elevated boardwalk where the red line is shown. On the screen in front of you you see Lake Andrea and the two blue lines, the one that goes predominantly east and west is the Jerome Creek and then a branch of the Des Plaines comes down to the north. It's our goal to build a trail system that ties in River Road to Lake Andrea and ties into Highway C and some of the frontage roads which we had talked about this evening to really provide a trail system of connectivity and expose a lot of that natural area that we do have on Lake Andrea.

So that first phase of the project was complete this past fall. We were able to acquire a grant of around \$34,000 to go through and pay for the materials. We were able to go through and get a lion's share of the labor donated by the Tremper High School construction group. If you can go to the next slide. So phase 1 was the bridge and elevated boardwalk. Phase 2 is what this grant is applying for right now is about another 400 feet of elevated boardwalk, estimated cost around

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

\$51,000. And phase 3 will just be an on trail path on some of the higher ground out of the floodplain which really shows up nice on this aerial as a lighter color. That should complete our path.

Next slide. These are some pictures of what some of the kids were doing out there. We actually made them pick up the beams and put them in, pretty much the whole thing. They spent two full semesters out there of labor of going through and constructing it. It was built at an elevation high enough where it gets them out of the floodplain where even when the waters are as high as it can be the bridge is still above water. It's really our goal to take this elevated path and extend it another 400 feet and that's what we're asking for this evening is just to show the DNR the Village's commitment to having this trail system.

The grant will cover the cost of materials and then the price of labor is really donated by the kids at the Tremper High School construction group. It really is an impressive structure and the pictures hardly even do it any justice. It will be made for maintenance vehicles and really a connectivity to west of the Interstate to Prairie Springs Park.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

That's a nice project and I'd like to commend everybody involved in that.

John Steinbrink:

Is this trail going to end up at River Road?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Yes, it will.

Clyde Allen:

Motion to approve.

Mike Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Mike. Further discussion on this item?

ALLEN MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-20 AUTHORIZING APPLICATION FOR GRANT ASSISTANCE FOR THE PRAIRIE SPRINGS PARK TRAIL DEVELOPMENT; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

N. Resolution #09-21 to dispose of a surplus vehicle.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Mr. President, staff is recommending to dispose of a 1995 Chevy S-10 pickup truck with about 130,000 on it. About five years ago the engine went out and we did put a used motor in there from a junkyard. We're having some engine problems on it again and we're not sure how much the cost of Bondo and tires and brakes will cost, but it's probably more than what the price of the vehicle itself is. The Village was budgeted for a replacement van and has purchased that van and it's in service right now. So we're looking to send this vehicle to auction and relieve it off to the highest bidder, whatever that may be.

John Steinbrink:

So it's guaranteed to go 130,000 miles, right?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

It does actually go on a trailer to the auction site so hopefully we don't have to drive it anymore. It does run right now and I use that term very loosely.

Clyde Allen:

Mike or Kathy, I'm assuming all proceeds will go to the vehicle fleet fund?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

The internal service fund, that's correct.

Mike Serpe:

Move approval of Resolution 09-21.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-21 TO DISPOSE OF A 1995 CHEVROLET S-10 PICKUP TRUCK; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

O. Consent Agenda

- 1) **Approve a Letter of Credit Reduction for the Westfield Development.**
- 2) **Approve a Letter of Credit Reduction for the Creekside Crossing Addition #1 Development.**
- 3) **Approve a Letter of Credit Reduction for the Creekside Crossing Development.**
- 4) **Approve a Letter of Credit Reduction for the Devonshire Development.**
- 5) **Approve Bartender License applications on file.**
- 6) **Approve Renewal of Towing Licenses.**
- 7) **Approve Renewal of Keno Outdoor Theater License.**
- 8) **Approve Amplification Permits for Prairie Springs Park rentals.**
- 9) **Approve LakeView Technology Academy overnight camping trip at Prairie Springs Park on June 12, 2009.**

Monica Yuhas:

Motion to approve.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Monica, second by Steve. Any discussion on any of the items?

YUHAS MOVED TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 1-9; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

8. VILLAGE BOARD COMMENTS

John Steinbrink:

This weekend I think you had the football team over there building a playground, is that correct?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Yes, we did. The Village Board approved the purchase of a playground for the north ball diamonds at Lake Andrea. We spent \$15,000 and actually half of the playground was purchased on a grant. So it's a \$30,000 playground that we purchased for \$15,000. Then the Tremper football team had volunteered as a community service project to go through and provide all the labor for that. So myself with Tom Patrizzi and the football team assembled the playground on Saturday. We probably had about 25 kids show up and we bought them pizza and some Gatorade and I think they were just happy to get a bunch of food. They worked very hard over the course of the day. We were able to erect the playground at it entirety in six hours. So it was a really great community service project and the kids were very happy to be a part of it. And they really

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

took a lot of pride in the construction of it. So it's something that the community is really going to value for many years to come.

John Steinbrink:

So it was a very busy weekend out there with ball games going on in the vicinity of the construction, the construction there, the jet ski races on the lake. To drive around there was almost impossible. We definitely need more parking out there. I'm not sure where we're going to put it or how we're going to do it, but with events and the turnouts for the games it's a busy place.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

It's definitely a great asset to our community.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

John, I'd like to comment on this. I think Tom Patrizzi deserves a pat on the back because every time there's something going on in the Village he's right there working. Same when we have the Baby University he was working over there day and night and now again on this project

John Steinbrink:

Thank you to Richard Lubin for leading us in the Pledge tonight. Is your project complete out there at the cemetery now?

Richard Lubin:

There is one more item to complete and that is to mount the sign. We have the sign already.

John Steinbrink:

It's one of those unknown cemeteries there. It's a County cemetery. It's referred to as the pauper's cemetery. What were some of the earliest grave markings in there?

Richard Lubin:

The markings are from 1924 – 1978.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Where is that located?

Richard Lubin:

On Highway H south of Bain Station.

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I was thinking of H, on the east side.

John Steinbrink:

It had really fallen into disrepair and the stones some of them had broken off and some were at different elevations. They raised them and straightened it out, did a lot of seeding out there, filling. It looks pretty nice. What's amazing is they now have a website now for the cemetery. The amount of people that stop in there now to walk through that cemetery is unbelievable. On a weekly basis and especially on the weekends people always stopping and parking and walking in. A couple years ago they took the fence down because of vehicles driving through the fence. Now with it more exposed, like I said, the number of people coming in there is unbelievable just to research it and look at these old cemeteries. There's a lot of history in there.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Mr. President, just to add on to that I believe there is another Eagle Scout working on a project at the same cemetery to re-erect a fence on the front of it just so you're aware of that. There's another Eagle Scout working on that project.

John Steinbrink:

Does he know what kind of fence that's going to be?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

I believe it's going to be either a decorative aluminum or a wrought iron fence across the front of that cemetery.

John Steinbrink:

The old one was an old chain link and it had a lot of growth in it and blocked the view. If it's decorated and kept clean people are still going to be able to see the cemetery there. Any further Board comment or question?

9. **CONSIDER ENTERING INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION SUBJECT TO WIS. STATS. SEC. 19.85(1)(E) DELIBERATING OR NEGOTIATING THE PURCHASING OF PUBLIC PROPERTIES, THE INVESTING OF PUBLIC FUNDS OR CONDUCTING OTHER SPECIFIED PUBLIC BUSINESS, WHENEVER COMPETITIVE OR BARGAINING REASONS REQUIRE A CLOSED SESSION AND SUBJECT TO WIS STATS. SEC. 19.85(1) (c) CONSIDERING EMPLOYMENT, PROMOTION, COMPENSATION OR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION DATA OF ANY PUBLIC EMPLOYEE OVER WHICH THE GOVERNMENTAL BODY HAS JURISDICTION OR EXERCISES RESPONSIBILITY.**

Village Board Meeting
June 1, 2009

Mike Serpe:

Move to enter into Executive Session.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

The only item discussed in there will be that. No other business will be conducted. We will return to open session for adjournment only.

SERPE MOVED TO ENTER INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION SUBJECT TO WIS. STATS. SEC. 19.85(1)(E) DELIBERATING OR NEGOTIATING THE PURCHASING OF PUBLIC PROPERTIES, THE INVESTING OF PUBLIC FUNDS OR CONDUCTING OTHER SPECIFIED PUBLIC BUSINESS, WHENEVER COMPETITIVE OR BARGAINING REASONS REQUIRE A CLOSED SESSION AND SUBJECT TO WIS STATS. SEC. 19.85(1) (c) CONSIDERING EMPLOYMENT, PROMOTION, COMPENSATION OR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION DATA OF ANY PUBLIC EMPLOYEE OVER WHICH THE GOVERNMENTAL BODY HAS JURISDICTION OR EXERCISES RESPONSIBILITY; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; ROLL CALL VOTE – STEINBRINK – YES; YUHAS – YES; KUMORKIEWICZ – YES; ALLEN – YES; SERPE – YES; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

10. ADJOURNMENT

After discussion was held in Executive Session, **SERPE MOVED TO RETURN TO OPEN SESSION TO ADJOURN THE MEETING; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; ROLL CALL VOTE – STEINBRINK – YES; YUHAS – YES; KUMORKIEWICZ – YES; ALLEN– YES; SERPE – YES; MOTION CARRIED 5-0 AND MEETING ADJOURNED AT 9:10 P.M.**